

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/638,647	08/14/2000	David M. Stern	0575/62176/JPW/JML	9844
7590 12/03/2003			EXAMINER	
John P White			CROUCH, DEBORAH	
Attorney for Ap Cooper & Dunh		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1185 Avenue of	the Americas	1632		
New York, NY 10036			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annilostian No	Annthomato				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/638,647	STERN ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>	ıgust 0503.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $igtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 August 2000</u> is/are: a) $igtimes$ accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• • •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received.					
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	priority under 35 U.S.C. t sentence of the specification	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet.				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific</li> </ul>						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1632

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 25, 2003 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1-3 and 5-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a transgenic mouse whose genome comprises a DNA sequence comprising a nerve tissue specific promoter operatively linked to a DNA sequence encoding ABAD and a nerve tissue specific promoter operably linked to an alternatively spliced hAPP mini-gene that encodes hAPP695, hAPP751 and hAPP770 comprising one or more familial Alzheimer's disease mutants and methods of using the mice to evaluate therapeutic treatments, does not reasonably provide enablement for a transgenic nonhuman animal comprising separate DNA sequences encoding hAPP695, hAPP751 and hAPP770 and methods of evaluating using the transgenic nonhuman animal. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant's amendment to the specification in response to the improper incorporation by reference rejection made in the office action mailed September 24, 2002 is impermissible.

Art Unit: 1632

In addition to other requirements for an application, the referencing application should include an identification of the referenced patent, application, or publication. Particular attention should be directed to specific portions of the referenced document where the subject matter being incorporated may be found. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

Applicant's amendment to the specification is impermissible because 1) the original reference to Hsia, did not direct to specific portions of the referenced document where the subject matter being incorporated may be found, and 2) did not contain an affidavit or declaration executed by applicant or practitioner as required by MPEP 608.01(p).

Applicant argues that transgenic mice constitute a representative example of transgenic rodents. This argument is not persuasive.

Applicant has made an unsubstantiated allegation that transgenic mice are representative of transgenic rodents. Without evidence or reasoning, this argument is not persuasive, especially in view of teachings in the art at the time of filing. At stated in the office action mailed September 24, 2002, transgenic rats were not known to exhibit any Alzheimer's phenotype: "Also, at the time filing, the art taught that transgenic rats containing an APP transgene failed to demonstrate any Alzheimer's related pathology at six months of age (Felsenstein et al (1995) Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Diseases, I. Hanin, ed., Plenum Press, New York, page 406, page 1), office action page 5. Applicant has not rebutted this evidence.

Application/Control Number: 09/638,647

Art Unit: 1632

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Crouch, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah J. Reynolds can be reached on 703-305-4051. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Deborah Crouch, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1632

dc

November 10, 2003